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# Abstract

In this proposal, we modify the nonlinear depth representation (NDR) [1] adopted in the 3DV-ATM. The current NDR is prune to disparity center fluctuation. Because it checks just one time at the beginning of sequence and then on/off decision is fixed for the whole sequence. In this proposal, we make NDR more resilient to every frame by explicitly signalling slice by slice. The modified nonlinear depth representation was implemented in two sub-tests, respectively. and the first sub-test results shows -0.80% (decoded view) and -0.32% (rendered view) BD-rate in EHP Profile and -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.36% (rendered view) BD-rate in HP Profile when compared with 3DV-ATM ver. 6.0 under the common test conditions [2] without the encoding and decoding time increase. The second sub-test results shows -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.27% (rendered view) BD-rate in EHP Profile and -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.36% (rendered view) BD-rate in HP Profile when compared with 3DV-ATM ver. 6.0 under the common test conditions [2] without the encoding and decoding time increase.

# Introduction

We modify the nonlinear depth representation (NDR) adopted in the 3DV-ATM. Although the present NDR has good coding gain, there is still chance to improve error-resilience and coding gain. In this proposal, we address the improvement of the nonlinear depth representation.

# Algorithm description

In this section, we will overview the present NDR and then explain what we improve. NDR is a kind of the non-uniform quantization so that distant objects are quantized more roughly than the closer one. Depth sample values were defined by the following power-law expressions, similar as in the case of well known gamma correction:



For the input depth map, NDR takes the forward transform (linear to nonlinear) and then transformed depth map is used in 3DV encoder and 3DV decoder. Finally, the coded depth map is inversely transformed in order to represent the nonlinear representation to linear representation. To decide whether NDR is enabled or disabled, the present NDR makes the analysis for the first frame of input depth map and such decision is final and fixed to the whole sequence. Thus, one-time on/off decision suffers from a kind of scene change that often happens in whole sequence.



1. The present NDR



1. The improved NDR

Fig. 1. The comparison of the present NDR and improved NDR

In the proposal, we add the **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag**, which indicates on/off decision at every slice. In the first place, we decide whether NDR is enabled or not. In the second place, the on/off decision is explicitly signaled to the decoder at every slice. In sub-proposal 1, the on/off signaling was written inside the slice header(SH) but the LUT tables related to the nonlinear representation is still written SPS (EHP profile) or SEI (HP profile) as before. In sub-proposal 2, the on/off signaling was written inside the depth parameter set(DPS) but the LUT tables related to the nonlinear representation is still written SPS (EHP profile) or SEI (HP profile) as before.

Compared to the present nonlinear depth representation, there are two differences as follows.

* NDR on/off flag (**nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag)** is signaled to the decoder every slice.
* Decision on NDR on/off is based on not only disparity center but also disparity concentration. (encoder issue only)

In this paragraph, we will describe the disparity center and disparity concentration in detail. First, disparity center has already been presented in [1] by Poznan University of Technology and is defined as follows:

where is a histogram of the current frame of depth map. Second, disparity concentration is newly defined as follow:

where base histogram is defined as the histogram where the trivial tail and head are eliminated and the effective bin is defined as the bin with the more than some quantity. The disparity concentration is introduced to measure the disparity density in the histogram.



1. Sparse disparity in histogram



1. Dense disparity in histogram

Fig. 2 The comparison of the sparse and dense disparity.

It is not desirable that the dense disparity in histogram is represented in non-uniform quantization. Because there is quantization error during nonlinear mapping and nonlinear re-maping and this quantization error is more serious in the dense disparity. On the contrary, the sparse disparity can be represented in non-uniform quantization and the quantization error is negligibly small. Therefore, we propose that the disparity concentration should be also considered in deciding NDR on/off.

In the present NDR, the disparity center is calculated at the beginning of sequence. If the disparity center is more than 100, then NDR is turned on. Otherwise, the NDR is turned off.

In the proposal, the disparity center and disparity concentration are calculated every slice. If disparity center is more than 50 and the disparity concentration is less than 60%, then NDR is turned on. Otherwise the NDR is turned off.

# Sub-proposal 1 vs. Sub-proposal 2

The only difference in sub-proposal 1 and sub-proposal 2 is the position of the **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** in EHP. In the sub-proposal 1, the flag is included in the slice header in EHP and SEI in HP. In the sub-proposal 2, the flag is included in the depth parameter set in EHP and SEI in HP. In the last meeting (JCT3V 2nd meeting), we proposed that **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** should be placed at the slice header in EHP. However, there is some comment that including this into the depth parameter set(DPS) is possible.

After the further study on functionality on slice header and depth parameter set, we conclude that including this into the slice header is more appropriate than into depth parameter set. The present DPS includes the information on the camera acquisition and is selectively transmitted depending on the test sequence. For example, the depth parameter of GT\_Fly (**AcquisitionIdc=2** in the configuration file**)** is transmitted every access unit, but the depth parameter set of Newspaper (**AcquisitionIdc=1** in the configuration file**)** is transmitted once at the beginning of test sequence.

Since the **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag**, which is decided based on input depth map histogram, is not relevant to the camera acquisition and should be always transmitted every slice, we come to conclusion that the including the flag in slice header is more appropriate. However, we have prepared two sub-proposals for the complete adoption.

In the sub-proposal 1, the information about piecewise linear segments(nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_num, nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_model[i]) is signalled inside SPS of the depth component and the information about on/off flag(nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag) is signalled inside Slice Header of the depth component.

Table 1. Position of **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Sub-proposal 1** | **Sub-proposal 2** |
| **EHP** | Slice Header | Depth Parameter Set |
| **HP** | SEI | SEI |

# Test results

In the section, we provide the test results on sub-proposal 1 and sub-proposal 2.

## Sub-proposal 1

In this sub-proposal, the **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** is placed in the slice header in EHP and the SEI in HP. The objective coding performance of the proposed method is presented compared with 3DV-ATM 6.0 anchor (both EHP profile and HP profile). We followed the common testing condition document [2]. Subjective evaluation is also available on the meeting and constant-bitrate points are satisfied.

Table 1 and Table 2 show BD-rate gain in terms of PSNR of decoded views over texture + depth bit rate and BD-rate gain in terms of PSNR of synthesized views over texture + depth bit rate.

Table 2. Test results for the sub-proposal 1 in 3 view test scenario (EHP profile)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total (Coded PSNR) | | Total (Synthesized PSNR) | | Complexity estimate | |
|  | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | Encoder Time, % | Decoder Time, % |
| S01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 94.8% | 101.9% |
| S02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.1% | 100.0% |
| S03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 102.3% | 95.8% |
| S04 | 0.67 | -0.02 | -2.03 | 0.07 | 101.4% | 99.7% |
| S05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 102.0% | 91.7% |
| S06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 101.0% | 94.7% |
| S08 | -6.32 | 0.27 | -0.20 | 0.01 | 101.2% | 95.8% |
| **Average** | **-0.80** | **0.04** | **-0.32** | **0.01** | **100.3%** | **97.1%** |

Table 3. Test results for the sub-proposal 1 in 3 view test scenario (HP profile)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total (Coded PSNR) | | Total (Synthesized PSNR) | | Complexity estimate | |
|  | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | Encoder Time, % | Decoder Time, % |
| S01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 101.0% | 101.6% |
| S02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 100.6% | 100.8% |
| S03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 98.3% | 98.1% |
| S04 | 1.63 | -0.07 | -1.07 | 0.04 | 102.3% | 101.2% |
| S05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 102.3% | 96.9% |
| S06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 102.5% | 101.1% |
| S08 | -7.35 | 0.33 | -1.54 | 0.06 | 97.3% | 100.3% |
| **Average** | **-0.81** | **0.04** | **-0.36** | **0.01** | **100.6%** | **100.0%** |

# Test results in sub-proposal 2

In this sub-proposal, the **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** is placed in the depth parameter set in EHP and the SEI in HP. The objective coding performance of the proposed method is presented compared with 3DV-ATM 6.0 anchor (both EHP profile and HP profile). We followed the common testing condition document [2]. Subjective evaluation is also available on the meeting and constant-bitrate points are satisfied.

Table 3 and Table 4 show BD-rate gain in terms of PSNR of decoded views over texture + depth bit rate and BD-rate gain in terms of PSNR of synthesized views over texture + depth bit rate.

Table 4. Test results for the sub-proposal 2 in 3 view test scenario (EHP profile)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total (Coded PSNR) | | Total (Synthesized PSNR) | | Complexity estimate | |
|  | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | Encoder Time, % | Decoder Time, % |
| S01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 97.3% | 99.6% |
| S02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.5% | 99.0% |
| S03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 102.0% | 99.1% |
| S04 | 0.66 | -0.02 | -1.70 | 0.06 | 98.4% | 98.4% |
| S05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.6% | 100.6% |
| S06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 101.6% | 98.9% |
| S08 | -6.32 | 0.27 | -0.20 | 0.01 | 96.0% | 99.0% |
| **Average** | **-0.81** | **0.04** | **-0.27** | **0.01** | **97.5%** | **99.2%** |

Table 5. Test results for the sub-proposal 2 in 3 view test scenario (HP profile)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total (Coded PSNR) | | Total (Synthesized PSNR) | | Complexity estimate | |
|  | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | dBR, % | dPSNR,dB | Encoder Time, % | Decoder Time, % |
| S01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 102.4% | 97.5% |
| S02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 100.6% | 99.0% |
| S03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 99.4% | 101.1% |
| S04 | 1.63 | -0.07 | -1.07 | 0.04 | 100.4% | 103.8% |
| S05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 100.4% | 96.9% |
| S06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 100.4% | 100.1% |
| S08 | -7.35 | 0.33 | -1.54 | 0.06 | 98.0% | 104.7% |
| **Average** | **-0.81** | **0.04** | **-0.36** | **0.01** | **100.2%** | **100.4%** |

# 3D-AVC draft text in sub-proposal 1

*In I.7.3.3,Slice header syntax, replace the syntax table with:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| slice\_header( ) { | **C** | **Descriptor** |
| **first\_mb\_in\_slice** | 2 | ue(v) |
| **slice\_type** | 2 | ue(v) |
| **pic\_parameter\_set\_id** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( avc\_3d\_extension\_flag && slice\_header\_prediction\_flag != 0 ) { |  |  |
| **pre\_slice\_header\_src** | 2 | u(2) |
| if( slice\_type = = P | | slice\_type = = SP  | | slice\_type = = B ) { |  |  |
| **pre\_ref\_lists\_src** | 2 | u(2) |
| if ( !pre\_ref\_lists\_src ) { |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_active\_override\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| if( num\_ref\_idx\_active\_override\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_l0\_active\_minus1** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( slice\_type = = B ) |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_l1\_active\_minus1** | 2 | ue(v) |
| } |  |  |
| if( nal\_unit\_type = = 20 | | ( nal\_unit\_type = = 21  && DepthFlag ) ) |  |  |
| ref\_pic\_list\_mvc\_modification( ) /\* specified in Annex  \*/ | 2 |  |
| else if( nal\_unit\_type = = 21 && DepthFlag = = 0 ) |  |  |
| ref\_pic\_list\_3dvc\_modification( ) | 2 |  |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( ( weighted\_pred\_flag && ( slice\_type = = P | |   slice\_type = = SP ) ) | |  ( weighted\_bipred\_idc = = 1 && slice\_type = = B ) ) { |  |  |
| **pre\_pred\_weight\_table\_src** | 2 | u(2) |
| if( !pre\_pred\_weight\_table\_src ) |  |  |
| pred\_weight\_table( ) | 2 |  |
| if(nal\_ref\_idc != 0 ) { |  |  |
| **pre\_dec\_ref\_pic\_marking\_src** | 2 | u(2) |
| if( !pre\_dec\_ref\_pic\_marking\_src ) |  |  |
| dec\_ref\_pic\_marking( ) | 2 |  |
| } |  |  |
| **slice\_qp\_delta** | 2 | se(v) |
| } else { |  |  |
| if( separate\_colour\_plane\_flag = = 1 ) |  |  |
| **colour\_plane\_id** | 2 | u(2) |
| **frame\_num** | 2 | u(v) |
| if( !frame\_mbs\_only\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **field\_pic\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| if( field\_pic\_flag ) |  |  |
| **bottom\_field\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |
| if( IdrPicFlag ) |  |  |
| **idr\_pic\_id** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( pic\_order\_cnt\_type = = 0 ) { |  |  |
| **pic\_order\_cnt\_lsb** | 2 | u(v) |
| if( bottom\_field\_pic\_order\_in\_frame\_present\_flag && !field\_pic\_flag ) |  |  |
| **delta\_pic\_order\_cnt\_bottom** | 2 | se(v) |
| } |  |  |
| if( pic\_order\_cnt\_type = = 1 && !delta\_pic\_order\_always\_zero\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **delta\_pic\_order\_cnt[** 0 **]** | 2 | se(v) |
| if( bottom\_field\_pic\_order\_in\_frame\_present\_flag && !field\_pic\_flag ) |  |  |
| **delta\_pic\_order\_cnt[** 1 **]** | 2 | se(v) |
| } |  |  |
| if( redundant\_pic\_cnt\_present\_flag ) |  |  |
| **redundant\_pic\_cnt** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( slice\_type = = B ) |  |  |
| **direct\_spatial\_mv\_pred\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| if( slice\_type = = P | | slice\_type = = SP | | slice\_type = = B ) { |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_active\_override\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| if( num\_ref\_idx\_active\_override\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_l0\_active\_minus1** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( slice\_type = = B ) |  |  |
| **num\_ref\_idx\_l1\_active\_minus1** | 2 | ue(v) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( nal\_unit\_type = = 20 | | ( nal\_unit\_type = = 21  && DepthFlag ) ) |  |  |
| ref\_pic\_list\_mvc\_modification( ) /\* specified in Annex  \*/ | 2 |  |
| else if( nal\_unit\_type = = 21 && DepthFlag = = 0 ) |  |  |
| ref\_pic\_list\_3dvc\_modification( ) |  |  |
| else |  |  |
| ref\_pic\_list\_modification( ) | 2 |  |
| if( ( weighted\_pred\_flag && ( slice\_type = = P | | slice\_type = = SP ) ) | |  ( weighted\_bipred\_idc = = 1 && slice\_type = = B ) ) |  |  |
| pred\_weight\_table( ) | 2 |  |
| if( nal\_ref\_idc != 0 ) |  |  |
| dec\_ref\_pic\_marking( ) | 2 |  |
| if( entropy\_coding\_mode\_flag && slice\_type != I && slice\_type != SI ) |  |  |
| **cabac\_init\_idc** | 2 | ue(v) |
| **slice\_qp\_delta** | 2 | se(v) |
| if( slice\_type = = SP | | slice\_type = = SI ) { |  |  |
| if( slice\_type = = SP ) |  |  |
| **sp\_for\_switch\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| **slice\_qs\_delta** | 2 | se(v) |
| } |  |  |
| if( deblocking\_filter\_control\_present\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **disable\_deblocking\_filter\_idc** | 2 | ue(v) |
| if( disable\_deblocking\_filter\_idc != 1 ) { |  |  |
| **slice\_alpha\_c0\_offset\_div2** | 2 | se(v) |
| **slice\_beta\_offset\_div2** | 2 | se(v) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( num\_slice\_groups\_minus1 > 0 &&  slice\_group\_map\_type >= 3 && slice\_group\_map\_type <= 5) |  |  |
| **slice\_group\_change\_cycle** | 2 | u(v) |
| if ( nal\_unit\_type  = =  21  && ( slice\_type != I && slice\_type != SI )) { |  |  |
| if( DepthFlag ) |  |  |
| **depth\_weighted\_pred\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| else if( avc\_3d\_extension\_flag &&   ViewCompOrder( 0, view\_idx ) > ViewCompOrder( 1, view\_idx ) ) |  |  |
| **dmvp\_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| if ( 3dv\_acquisition\_idc != 1 &&   (depth\_weighted\_pred\_flag = = 1 || dmvp\_flag = = 1) ) |  |  |
| **dps\_id** | 2 | ue(v) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( DepthFlag && profile\_idc = = 139 ) { |  |  |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable \_flag** | 2 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |

*In 7.4.3, Slice header semantics, add the following text after the semantics of "***dps\_id***":*

**nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** specify if the current slice is represented in linear form or nonlinear form. nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag equal to 0 specifies that the current slice is represented in linear form. nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_flag equal to 1 specifies that the current slice is represented in nonlinear form.

# 3D-AVC draft text in sub-proposal 2

*In I.7.3.2.1,15,Sequence parameter set 3DVC extension syntax, replace the syntax table with:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| seq\_parameter\_set\_3dvc\_extension( ) { | C | Descriptor |
| for( i = 0; i <= num\_level\_values\_signalled\_minus1; i++ ) |  |  |
| for( j = 0; j <= num\_applicable\_ops\_minus1[ i ]; j++ ) |  |  |
| **applicable\_op\_num\_depth\_views\_minus1[** i **][** j **]** |  | ue(v) |
| **depth\_info\_present\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| if( depth\_info\_present\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **3dv\_acquisition\_idc** | 0 | ue(v) |
| for( i = 0; i < num\_views\_minus1; i++ ) |  |  |
| **view\_id\_3dv**[ i ] | 0 | ue(v) |
| if( 3dv\_acquisition\_idc  ) { |  |  |
| depth\_ranges( 2, 0 ) |  |  |
| if( profile\_idc = = 139 && num\_views\_minus1 ) |  |  |
| vsp\_param( 2, 0  ) |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if(profile\_idc = = 139 ) { |  |  |
| for( i = 0; i <= num\_views\_minus1; i++ ) { |  |  |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( profile\_idc = = 139 && depth\_info\_present\_flag ) { |  |  |
| **reduced\_resolution\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **slice\_header\_prediction\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **inside\_view\_mvp\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **seq\_view\_synthesis\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **disp\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **psip\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_num** | 0 | ue(v) |
| for( i = 1; i <= nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_num; i++ ) |  |  |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_model**[ i ] | 0 | ue(v) |
| } |  |  |
| if( profile\_idc = = 139 && !depth\_info\_present\_flag ) |  |  |
| **alc\_sps\_enable\_flag** | 0 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |

*In J.7.3.2.12,Depth parameter set RBSP syntax, replace the syntax table with:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| depth\_parameter\_set\_rbsp( ) { | C | Descriptor |
| if(3dv\_acquisition\_idc = = 1 ) { |  |  |
| if(profile\_idc = = 139 ) { |  |  |
| for( i = 0; i <= num\_views\_minus1; i++ ) { |  |  |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| else{ |  |  |
| **ref\_dps\_id0** | 11 | ue(v) |
| predWeight0 = 64 |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| if( pred\_direction  = =  0 ) { |  |  |
| **ref\_dps\_id1** | 11 | ue(v) |
| **pred\_weight0** | 11 | u(6) |
| predWeight0 = pred\_weight0 |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| **num\_views\_minus1** | 11 | ue(v) |
| depth\_ranges( pred\_direction, depth\_parameter\_set\_id ) |  |  |
| **vsp\_param\_flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| if( vsp\_param\_flag ) |  |  |
| vsp\_param( pred\_direction, depth\_parameter\_set\_id ) |  |  |
| **depth\_param\_additional\_extension\_flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| if(depth\_param\_additional\_extension\_flag = = 1 ) |  |  |
| while( more\_rbsp\_data( ) ) |  |  |
| **depth\_param\_additional\_extension\_data\_flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| if(profile\_idc = = 139 ) { |  |  |
| for( i = 0; i <= num\_views\_minus1; i++ ) { |  |  |
| **nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable flag** | 11 | u(1) |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| } |  |  |
| rbsp\_trailing\_bits( ) |  |  |
| } |  |  |

*In J.7.4.2.13, Depth parameter set RBSP semantics, add the following text*

**nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_enable\_flag** equal to 0 specifies that the current slice is represented in linear form. Nonlinear\_depth\_representation\_flag equal to 1 specifies that the current slice is represented in nonlinear form.

# Conclusion

In this document, improved NDR was proposed. Experimental results in sub-proposal 1 shows -0.80% (decoded view) and -0.32% (rendered view) BD-rate in EHP Profile and -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.36% (rendered view) BD-rate in HP Profile for 3 view case, compared to anchor and the encoding time and decoding time remains the similar to the anchor.

Experimental results in sub-proposal 2 shows -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.27% (rendered view) BD-rate in EHP Profile and -0.81% (decoded view) and -0.36% (rendered view) BD-rate in HP Profile for 3 view case, compared to anchor and the encoding time and decoding time remains the similar to the anchor.

We have prepared two sub-proposals for the complete study and it is more appropriate for the sub-proposal 1 to be adopted into 3DV-ATM.
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