

AHG8: Coding the prediction differences of the intra BC vectors

Shih-Ta Hsiang, Tzu-Der (Peter) Chuang,
Shawmin Lei

Presented by Tzu-Der (Peter) Chuang
17th JCT-VC Meeting in Valencia
27 March – 4 April 2014

Overall Summary

■ Problem

MVD tool is not effective for coding the block vector difference (BVD) in the Intra BC mode

■ Proposals

- Modified entropy coding by re-using the MVD binarization but improving the context modeling scheme
- Modified BVD value range

■ Average luma BD-rate savings

- 1.9%, 1.4%, and 1.6% for AI-MT, RA-MT, LB-MT, respectively, under the AHG 8 CTCs

Introduction

- RExt-6.0 reuses the HEVC MVD syntax set for coding Intra BC block vector (BVD) difference
- HEVC MVD coding method:
 - Binarization: TU + Exp-Golomb (EG1)
 - Two fixed contexts for TU binIdx0 and binIdx1, shared between x/y components
 - Bypass coding for remaining EG1 bins & sign flags

Proposed Method

- Represent the absolute value of each BVD component by its most significant bit (MSB) index & its refinement
- Binarization
 - A concatenation of a prefix bin string and a suffix bin string
 - The prefix string represents the MSB index plus one, or **msb_plus_one**, of symbol x with

$$\text{msb_plus_one} = \begin{cases} \text{Floor} (\text{Log}_2(x)) + 1, & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Prefix part representing **msb_plus_one** in a unary code
- Suffix part representing refinement bins in a fixed-length binary code

Comparison of Binarization Schemes

- The binarization is the same as HEVC MVD coding, but classified into prefix part (msb_plus_one) and suffix part (refinement_bins)

d	Proposed Method		HEVC mvd_coidng()		
	msb_plus_one	refinement bins	TU prefix	EG1 prefix	EG suffix
0	0	-	0	-	-
1	10	-	10	-	-
2	110	0	11	0	0
3	110	1	11	0	1
4	1110	00	11	10	00
5	1110	01	11	10	01
6	1110	10	11	10	10
7	1110	11	11	10	11

Entropy Coding

- Prefix bin string is mostly coded in the CABAC mode
 - Each prefix bin is assigned a single context
 - Separate context sets for the two vector components
 - Use of the bypass mode for bin index > 7 and 6 for horizontal and vertical components, respectively
 - 15 total contexts (8 for horizontal and 7 for vertical components)
- Refinement bins and sign flags are coded in the bypass mode

Experimental Results

- Anchor: HM-13.0+RExt-6.0
- Condition: AHG8 CTC
- 1.9%, 1.4%, and 1.6% for SC YUV444 AI-MT, RA-MT, LB-MT, respectively, under the AHG 8 CTCs

BD-rate Y	AI-MT	AI-HT	AI-SHT	RA-MT	RA-HT	LB-MT	LB-HT
Class F	-0.9%	-0.7%	-0.5%	-0.6%	-0.5%	-0.5%	-0.3%
Class B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
SC RGB 444	-1.6%	-1.2%	-1.0%	-1.4%	-1.2%	-1.2%	-0.9%
Animation RGB 444	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%
SC YUV 444	-1.9%	-1.5%	-1.2%	-1.4%	-1.2%	-1.6%	-1.3%
Animation YUV 444	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RangeExt	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SC(444) GBR Optional	-1.7%	-1.6%	-1.5%	-1.7%	-1.5%	-2.4%	-2.1%
SC(444) YUV Optional	-2.3%	-2.0%	-1.8%	-2.1%	-2.0%	-2.3%	-2.2%

Proposed BVD value range

- The value of BVD component is in the range of $[-128, 128]$, inclusive, in RExt Draft 6
 - Not enforced by the HM-13.0+RExt-6.0 software
- Proposed value range
 - $[-127, 127]$ for each component
 - Store each BVD component in one byte
 - Reduce the largest number of the coded bins by 1

Conclusion

- Proposal 1: Improved method for entropy coding the intra BC BVD
- Proposal 2: Modified BVD component value range [-127, 127]
- Recommendation
 - Proposal 1: adoption into HEVC Draft or further study in CE
 - Proposal 2: adoption into HEVC Draft