

# JCTVC-G248


## Low-Complexity Scalable Extension of HEVC intra pictures

Sébastien Lasserre,  
**Fabrice Le Léannec**,  
Eric Nassor

JCT-VC 7<sup>th</sup> Meeting, Geneva 21-30 November, 2011

# Motivation

- Scalability usually increases complexity of non-scalable video codecs
- Here: scalability wanted as a means to reduce complexity
  - While keeping good coding efficiency




- Additional desired features:
  - Highly parallelizable coding/decoding processes
  - Spatial random access: ability to extract and decode a spatial area from the video stream


# Principle of the proposed approach

- Only one coding mode used in the enhancement layer
  - Inter-layer intra prediction (analogous to I\_BL in H.264/SVC)
  - Suppression of all spatial dependencies between neighboring blocks in the enhancement pictures
    - No spatial prediction
    - Non context-based and non-adaptive entropy coding
- Low-complexity intra residual texture coding/decoding process

# Overall encoder architecture




# Overall decoder architecture




# Residual data coding process

- DCT coefficients modeled by a Generalized Gaussian Distribution (GGD)
- Pool of rate distortion optimal quantifiers computed off-line
- Distortion targets balanced between DCT channels
- Entropy coding: fixed probabilities computed off-line



# Residual data decoding process

- DCT coefficients GGD model received from the encoder
- Pool of rate distortion optimal quantifiers available at the decoder
- Distortion targets balanced between DCT channels (like the encoder)
- Entropy decoding: fixed probabilities computed off-line



# Experiments

- Dyadic spatial scalability. Comparisons between 3 coding configurations:
  - HEVC single layer: HM4.0, Intra LoCo mode, QP values 22, 27, 32, 37
  - HEVC simulcast: HM4.0 base layer, Intra LoCo mode,  $\mathbf{QP_{enh} = QP_{base} - 2}$  (as in JCTVC-F290)
  - Proposed low-complexity INTRA enhancement pictures. PSNR values close to those of HM4.0
- SNR scalability. Comparisons between 3 configurations:
  - HEVC single layer: HM4.0, Intra LoCo, QP = 22, 27, 32, 37
  - HEVC simulcast:  $\mathbf{QP_{enh} = QP_{base} + 3}$
  - Proposed low-complexity INTRA
- A non-parallelized version of the proposed INTRA codec is used for the tests


# Obtained results: dyadic spatial scalability

- Rate distortion performances (newer results than in JCTVC-G248.doc):

				HM 4,0				Proposed low-complexity intra					
		QP base	QP top	Simulcast	Base	Single-layer	Y psnr	kbps	Y psnr	U psnr	V psnr	delta simul	delta single
4K	Traffic	20	22	155611	48734	106877	43,37	124749	43,22	42,40	44,40	-28,8	7,74
		25	27	90727	30501	60226	40,16	64441	40,02	39,03	41,10		
		30	32	52777	18332	34445	37,08	35701	37,06	36,84	38,96		
		35	37	29974	10358	19616	34,04	19022	33,84	35,86	37,98		
	PeopleOnStreet	20	22	164134	54643	109491	43,24	121627	43,17	43,05	43,27	-30,2	8,15
		25	27	98965	34677	64288	39,82	71479	39,92	41,58	42,06		
		30	32	58819	21419	37400	36,70	41155	36,87	40,16	40,83		
		35	37	35339	12983	22356	33,85	22502	33,68	38,56	39,41		
Class B 1072p	Kimono	20	22	34565	11556	23009	42,70	22676	42,71	42,61	43,20	-37,1	-2,02
		25	27	19596	7011	12585	40,99	12532	41,01	41,32	41,92		
		30	32	11238	4106	7132	38,92	7271	39,08	40,23	40,99		
		35	37	6208	2210	3998	36,47	3867	36,47	39,15	40,17		
	ParkScene	20	22	74831	20326	54505	41,72	60161	41,83	41,55	42,35	-27,7	3,18
		25	27	41957	12314	29643	38,59	31922	38,82	38,38	39,38		
		30	32	22416	6943	15473	35,56	16676	35,77	37,39	38,70		
		35	37	11034	3450	7584	32,70	7269	32,51	36,37	38,04		
	Cactus	20	22	142505	36103	106402	40,48	117122	40,61	39,70	42,24	-22,0	12,98
		25	27	73399	22568	50831	37,93	57576	37,88	37,90	39,49		
		30	32	40969	13333	27636	35,68	32010	35,73	37,19	38,46		
		35	37	22441	7346	15095	33,24	16950	33,31	36,31	37,21		
	BasketballDrive	20	22	96020	22542	73478	41,19	83407	41,34	42,09	41,91	-19,4	16,35
		25	27	44239	13497	30742	38,66	35199	38,58	41,05	40,62		
		30	32	24024	8004	16020	36,84	20002	36,99	40,17	39,63		
		35	37	13596	4557	9039	34,83	10461	34,83	39,07	38,36		
	BQTerrace	20	22	233964	44692	189272	42,37	204136	42,44	40,84	43,25	-13,5	15,61
		25	27	111132	28520	82612	37,04	92675	36,85	39,01	41,83		
		30	32	60284	17444	42840	34,46	53592	34,51	38,22	40,94		
		35	37	33312	9918	23394	31,99	27249	31,87	37,30	39,97		
Class A												-29,5	7,9
Class B												-23,9	9,2
All												-25,5	8,86

# Obtained results: dyadic spatial scalability

- Encoding times (results of JCTVC-G248.doc)
  - Proposed low-complexity INTRA method reduces HEVC single layer complexity:



# Obtained results: SNR scalability

- Rate distortion performances (newer results than in JCTVC-G248.doc):

				HM 4,0				Proposed low-complexity intra					
		QP base	QP top	Simulcast	Base	Single layer	Y psnr	kbps	Y psnr	U psnr	V psnr	delta simul	delta single
Class A 4K	Traffic	25	22	182871	75994	106877	43,37	116875	43,45	42,63	44,59	-39,4	3,88
		30	27	103920	43694	60226	40,16	65373	40,51	39,52	41,50		
		35	32	58995	24550	34445	37,08	37231	37,28	37,96	39,93		
		40	37	33174	13558	19616	34,04	21844	34,33	36,89	38,93		
	PeopleOnStreet	25	22	189461	79970	109491	43,24	114770	43,27	44,55	44,39	-39,7	4,28
		30	27	111189	46901	64288	39,82	69097	40,05	42,81	43,03		
		35	32	64689	27289	37400	36,70	41729	37,07	41,02	41,55		
		40	37	38826	16470	22356	33,85	25154	34,17	39,79	40,54		
Class B 1072p	Kimono	25	22	38953	15944	23009	42,70	23664	42,78	43,40	44,46	-41,1	0,65
		30	27	21687	9102	12585	40,99	13168	41,12	42,11	42,88		
		35	32	12171	5039	7132	38,92	7568	39,13	40,87	41,63		
		40	37	6735	2737	3998	36,47	4319	36,74	39,96	40,86		
	ParkScene	25	22	92610	38105	54505	41,72	57410	41,98	41,83	42,32	-38,1	3,53
		30	27	50164	20521	29643	38,59	32108	38,83	40,07	40,53		
		35	32	25635	10162	15473	35,56	17259	35,85	38,48	39,30		
		40	37	12260	4676	7584	32,70	8685	33,03	37,35	38,65		
	Cactus	25	22	172981	66579	106402	40,48	110297	40,82	40,21	42,99	-37,9	4,72
		30	27	86515	35684	50831	37,93	52692	37,90	39,07	41,41		
		35	32	46837	19201	27636	35,68	30009	35,73	38,07	39,85		
		40	37	25357	10262	15095	33,24	16770	33,32	37,30	38,67		
	BasketballDrive	25	22	115822	42344	73478	41,19	76052	41,37	43,58	44,82	-37,7	4,13
		30	27	51487	20745	30742	38,66	30129	38,51	42,39	43,13		
		35	32	27316	11296	16020	36,84	17281	36,89	41,11	41,42		
		40	37	15373	6334	9039	34,83	10562	35,07	40,15	40,08		
BQTerrace	25	22	306902	117630	189272	42,37	194063	42,48	41,66	43,61	-39,0	1,35	
	30	27	137841	55229	82612	37,04	71046	36,38	40,27	42,49			
	35	32	72645	29805	42840	34,46	44276	34,34	38,97	41,37			
	40	37	39270	15876	23394	31,99	27510	32,25	38,12	40,64			
	Class A											-39,6	4,1
	Class B											-38,7	2,9
	All											-39,0	3,22

# Results analysis

- Spatial scalability: 8.86% bitrate increase over HEVC single layer
- SNR scalability: 3.22% bitrate increase over HEVC single layer
- Encoding complexity of proposed scalable approach (base + enhancement) is lower than that of non-scalable HEVC

# Conclusion

- Proposed approach characteristics:
  - Low complexity scalable coding: complexity of single layer coding is reduced in spatial scalability
  - Obtained coding efficiency shows reasonable cost of scalability compared to non-scalable HEVC
  - Coding/decoding process is highly parallelizable
  - Spatial random access feature is easily provided
- **Interesting rate distortion performances can be obtained with a very low complexity INTRA scalable coding process**
- **Recommendation:**
  - **We propose to consider very low complexity requirements in the design of HEVC scalable extension**
  - **Testing conditions imposing limited encoder complexity are desired**