

JCTVC-E497, 5th Meeting: Geneva, CH, 16-23 March, 2011

# JCT-VC BOG report: Complexity Assessment

Daniele Alfonso, STMicroelectronics

Xing Wen, Hong Kong Univ. of Science & Technology

# Revised TLCA

- TLCA = three-level complexity assessment
- Level 1: analytical description
- Level 2: software & algorithm measures
- Level 3: hardware measures

# Level 1: analytical

- Exhaustive textual description of proposed tool.
  - Flowchart / block schemes
- Report analytical assessments:
  - Elementary operations count analysis
  - Storage requirements analysis
  - Bandwidth requirement analysis
- No of processing stages → **pipelining**
  - Critical path to be considered.
- Data dependency analysis → **parallelization**
  - internal / external (with other tools)
- See CE7 and CE10 for good examples.

# Level 2: sw & algo measures (1/2)

- **HM runtime**
  - Report average results (mandatory)
  - Identify and explain worst cases
  - Report the environment: OS 32/64 bits, CPU, compiler, ...
  - Run on same machine for consistency, at least decoder.  
(encoder takes ~48 CPU days to produce all the anchors)
  - Take results critically
  - **AHG can offer sw to benchmark variance within clusters.**
- **Profiling**
  - Need internal understanding of HM.
  - AHG to do further investigation before recommending it.

# Level 2: sw & algo measures (2/2)

- **Memory**

- Memory usage difference reported and explained.
- Memory BW measured with NEC tool
- Recommend integrate it in main branch (disabled by default).
- avg/best/worst case to be considered
- peak memory bw measured at sequence/slice/cu/etc level
- consider slice type

# Level 3: hw measures

- Report results of HW design implementations.
- No consensus on which measures to consider.
- Priority one (agreed):
  - Throughput
  - Latency
- Other useful numbers could be:
  - Clock frequency
  - Technology
  - Area
  - Power consumption