

JCTVC-E497, 5th Meeting: Geneva, CH, 16-23 March, 2011

JCT-VC BOG report: Complexity Assessment

Daniele Alfonso, STMicroelectronics

Xing Wen, Hong Kong Univ. of Science & Technology

Revised TLCA

- TLCA = three-level complexity assessment
- Level 1: analytical description
- Level 2: software & algorithm measures
- Level 3: hardware measures

Level 1: analytical

- Exhaustive textual description of proposed tool.
 - Flowchart / block schemes
- Report analytical assessments:
 - Elementary operations count analysis
 - Storage requirements analysis
 - Bandwidth requirement analysis
- No of processing stages → **pipelining**
 - Critical path to be considered.
- Data dependency analysis → **parallelization**
 - internal / external (with other tools)
- See CE7 and CE10 for good examples.

Level 2: sw & algo measures (1/2)

- **HM runtime**

- Report average results (mandatory)
- Identify and explain worst cases
- Report the environment: OS 32/64 bits, CPU, compiler, ...
- Run on same machine for consistency, at least decoder.
(encoder takes ~48 CPU days to produce all the anchors)
- Take results critically
- **AHG can offer sw to benchmark variance within clusters.**

- **Profiling**

- Need internal understanding of HM.
- AHG to do further investigation before recommending it.

Level 2: sw & algo measures (2/2)

- **Memory**

- Memory usage difference reported and explained.
- Memory BW measured with NEC tool
- Recommend integrate it in main branch (disabled by default).
- avg/best/worst case to be considered
- peak memory bw measured at sequence/slice/cu/etc level
- consider slice type

Level 3: hw measures

- Report results of HW design implementations.
- No consensus on which measures to consider.
- Priority one (agreed):
 - Throughput
 - Latency
- Other useful numbers could be:
 - Clock frequency
 - Technology
 - Area
 - Power consumption