

Mode Dependent 2-step Transform for Intra Coding

*Youji Shibahara
Takahiro Nishi*

Panasonic Corporation

Introduction

- ▶ The KLT which is designed for intra prediction residual is an effective technology in terms of coding efficiency.
- ▶ However, its complexity is higher than DCT's in terms of number of operation and encoder/decoder software runtime.
- ▶ This contribution presents an approach for the unification of MDDT and ROT, which encoding/decoding runtimes are comparable with those of TMuC0.9-hm software

Proposed Method (1)

▶ 2-step Transform

- First Transform is either of Chen-DCT or AVC transform.
 - 2nd 4x4 KLT is applied for low frequency component.
 - For T8, norm adjusting is done before 4x4 KLT
- Reduction of number of operation

▶ Mode Dependent

- 2nd KLT is controlled according to the table on intra prediction mode.
 - No explicit signaling to select 2nd KLT matrix.
 - No need for additional test in encoder
- Reduction of encoding run time

Proposed Method (2)

- ▶ DDST model based 2nd transform matrix
 - The matrix of 2nd KLT is one for each size.
 - The covariance matrix for 2nd KLT is derived by $M^2 = T M^1 T^T$
 - M^1 is the covariance matrix presented in JCTVC-B24 (I2R) and JCTVC-C108(Samsung)
 - M^1 with gentle slope is used. 50% for T8, 25% for T16 and T32.

→ Utilization of the study of optimal transform for intra residual

- ▶ 1 step MDDT is used for 4x4 block
 - 2-step transform is not used
 - The number of operation / block is $O(N^3)$. N is transform block width.
 - The number of operation / pixel is $O(N)$
 - Its for 4x4 block is smallest in all transform block sizes
 - DDST could be applied for complexity reduction if necessary.

Proposed Method (3)

- ▶ Disabled Mode Dependent Coefficient Scan
 - In order to evaluate transform only, the scanning is not changed.

Experimental Results

- ▶ Table below shows results of proposed method with reference to TMuC 0.9-hm (negative BD-rate value indicates gain).

| | Intra | | | Intra LoCo | | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Y BD-rate | U BD-rate | V BD-rate | Y BD-rate | U BD-rate | V BD-rate |
| Class A | -1.7 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -2.1 | -1.0 | -0.7 |
| Class B | -1.0 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -1.5 | -0.7 | -0.6 |
| Class C | -1.3 | -1.0 | -1.1 | -1.7 | -0.8 | -0.8 |
| Class D | -1.3 | -1.1 | -1.0 | -1.7 | -0.7 | -0.7 |
| Class E | -1.7 | -1.8 | -1.8 | -2.4 | -1.0 | -1.2 |
| All | -1.3 | -1.2 | -1.2 | -1.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 |
| Enc Time[%] | 103 % | | | 106 % | | |
| Dec Time[%] | 101 % | | | 108 % | | |

| | Random Access | | | Random Access LoCo | | |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Y BD-rate | U BD-rate | V BD-rate | Y BD-rate | U BD-rate | V BD-rate |
| Class A | -0.8 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -0.8 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| Class B | -0.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.6 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| Class C | -0.7 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.3 | -0.2 |
| Class D | -0.6 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| Class E | -1.7 | -1.8 | -1.8 | -2.4 | -1.0 | -1.2 |
| All | -0.6 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.6 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| Enc Time[%] | 100 % | | | 101 % | | |
| Dec Time[%] | 100 % | | | 101 % | | |

Conclusions

- ▶ This contribution proposes low complexity unification of MDDT and ROT (2-step transform).
 - Mode Dependency make small the increase of encoding runtime
 - 2-step Transform make small the increase of the number of operation.
- ▶ It provides 1.3% and 0.6% gain for Intra HE and Random Access HE
- ▶ We recommend JCT-VC to:
 - Establish a CE on Transform itself for further study in the view point of trade off between coding gain and complexity (number of operation, encoder and decoder runtime).
 - Include this proposal into above CE.

Thank you

Proposed Method (5)

▶ Bit-width

- Bit-width of the matrix of 2nd KLT is 7 bit.
- Decoding Flow (worst case)
 - Inverse 2nd Horizontal KLT: +7bit
 - Inverse 2nd Vertical KLT: + 7bit
 - Shift Down: -14bit
 - → No affect to following Inverse DCT.
 - Inverse Chen-DCT or AVC T8.

Proposed Method (6)

▶ Number of Operation

| | | Proposal | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | 1 step M D DT 4T | AVC 8T + KL T 4T | Chen 16T + KL T 4T | Chen 32T + KL T 4T |
| Ops. / Block | Addition (+) | 96 | 624 | 2,488 | 12,536 |
| | Multiplication (*) | 128 | 144 | 1,536 | 7,552 |
| | Shift (>>, <<) | 32 | 208 | 864 | 4,256 |
| | Total | 256 | 976 | 4,888 | 24,344 |
| Ops. / Pixel | Addition (+) | 6.00 | 9.75 | 9.72 | 12.24 |
| | Multiplication (*) | 8.00 | 2.25 | 6.00 | 7.38 |
| | Shift (>>, <<) | 2.00 | 3.25 | 3.38 | 4.16 |
| | Total | 16.00 | 15.25 | 19.09 | 23.77 |
| Difference of Ops./ Pixel against TMuC 0.9 | Addition (+) | 2.00 | 1.75 | 0.47 | 0.12 |
| | Multiplication (*) | 8.00 | 2.25 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| | Shift (>>, <<) | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| | Total | 11.00 | 4.75 | 1.09 | 0.27 |

Table 2. Number of operations of transforms in this proposal

Proposed Method (7)

▶ Memory Requirement

- 7bit 4x4 Transform Matrix for T8,T16,T32
 - $\rightarrow 7\text{bit} * 4 * 4 * 3 = 48 \text{ byte}$
- 7bit and 9 mode, Row and Column 4x4 Transform Matrix
 - $\rightarrow 7\text{bit} * 4 * 4 * 18 = 288 \text{ byte}$
 - Can be reduced to $7 \text{ bit} * 4 * 4 = 16 \text{ byte}$ If DDST is used.
- No additional dequantization/quantization table
 - Elements are equal to HM's one. Just Indexing only.